

WUSTL Course Evaluations

6/4/2008

Title of Course: Public Finance
Course Number: L11 445 (same as L18 445)
Section Number: 01
Semester: Spring 2008
Completed Evaluations: 4 of 5 (80%)
Instructors: Fan-Chin Kung (Instructor)

Course Section Requirements:

Number of Quizzes	n/a
Number of Examinations	n/a
Number of short papers (1-5pgs)	n/a
Number of long papers (6 +pgs)	n/a
Number of homework assignments	n/a
Number of individual projects	n/a
Number of group projects	n/a
Number of oral presentations	n/a
Was attendance required?	No
Was class participation required?	No
Were take home exams given?	No

Scoring Key

Bold - Score

(S) - System's Average Score For the Template

(D) - Department's Average Score For the Template

Lecture Class

Overall Evaluation (Fan-Chin Kung - Instructor)

6.75 Give an overall rating for the course

(S) 5.38 of 16898	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 4.99 of 675	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	3 (75.00%)

5.75 Give an overall rating of the instructor's teaching

(S) 5.50 of 16898	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 4.97 of 675	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	3 (75.00%)	0 (0.00%)

6.75 Overall, how much did you learn in this course?

(S) 5.41 of 16898	(blank)	1 - Very Little	2	3	4	5	6	7 - A Great Deal
(D) 5.18 of 675	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	3 (75.00%)

6.50 Would you recommend this course to another student?

(S) 5.21 of 16898	(blank)	1 - No	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Certainly
(D) 4.88 of 675	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)	2 (50.00%)

5.25 How would you describe the workload in this course?

(S) 4.41 of 16898	(blank)	1 - Very Light	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Very Heavy
(D) 4.27 of 675	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (75.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)

WUSTL Course Evaluations

6/4/2008

5.75		How would you describe the content of this course?						
(S) 4.59 of 16898	(blank)	1 - Very Easy	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Very Difficult
(D) 4.88 of 675	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	3 (75.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Instruction (Fan-Chin Kung - Instructor)

6.00		The instructor made the course interesting						
(S) 5.33 of 16898	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 4.83 of 675	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	2 (50.00%)	1 (25.00%)

5.25		Subject matter was explained clearly						
(S) 5.41 of 16898	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 4.84 of 675	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (75.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)

5.50		Material was presented at an appropriate pace						
(S) 5.55 of 16898	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.20 of 675	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (75.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)

6.50		The instructor was well prepared for class						
(S) 6.21 of 16898	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.84 of 675	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (75.00%)

Organization (Fan-Chin Kung - Instructor)

6.67		The course lived up to its description						
(S) 5.84 of 16898	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.43 of 675	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	2 (50.00%)

6.00		Assigned work (readings, etc.) complemented lectures						
(S) 5.85 of 16898	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.60 of 675	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	2 (50.00%)

6.50		Class time was used well						
(S) 5.63 of 16898	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.02 of 675	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)	2 (50.00%)

WUSTL Course Evaluations

6/4/2008

6.50		Topics were well organized						
(S) 5.81 of 16898	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.56 of 675	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (75.00%)

Interaction with students (Fan-Chin Kung - Instructor)

6.00		Expectations were clearly explained						
(S) 5.72 of 16898	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.33 of 675	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)

7.00		Grading procedures were fair						
(S) 5.60 of 16898	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.33 of 675	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	4 (100.00%)

6.75		Instructor was concerned for students						
(S) 5.76 of 16898	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.39 of 675	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	3 (75.00%)

6.50		Instructor was available for consultation outside of class						
(S) 5.80 of 16898	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.53 of 675	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (75.00%)

6.75		Instructor maintained positive environment in class						
(S) 6.01 of 16898	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.59 of 675	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (25.00%)	3 (75.00%)

Other Considerations (NOT Instructor Specific)

What is your expected grade in this class?

(blank)	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	F	Pass
0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)	2 (50.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Indicate your class level

(blank)	Freshman	Sophomore	Junior	Senior	Graduate	Other
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)	1 (25.00%)	1 (25.00%)	0 (0.00%)

WUSTL Course Evaluations

6/4/2008

Indicate your school

(blank)	Architecture	Art	Arts and Sciences	Business	Engineering	Law	Medicine	Social Work	University College
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	4 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Indicate your gender

(blank)	Male	Female
0 (0.00%)	4 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Did you take this course to fulfill an area requirement?

(blank)	Yes	No
0 (0.00%)	2 (50.00%)	2 (50.00%)

Did you take this course b/c it was part of a cluster?

(blank)	Yes	No
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	4 (100.00%)

Short answers (Fan-Chin Kung - Instructor)

What did you like most about this course?

- 00 the clear PPT given by the professor
- 01 I learned an enormous amount from this course. I felt it was very well taught and excellently planned. I enjoyed the public good material the most, it was very interesting to see how the preferences of many would result in goods being provided through a democratic system
- 02 I think the subject matter of the course was very interesting. The applications to the real world were the best part.
- 03 Kung was very enthusiastic and he treated us not like undergrads in that the math was hard but he took time to explain it. This was my first 400 level class where I could see myself using what I learned in price theory. He was also always really well-prepared and we started right away; great use of class time. He was easily available outside of his office hours and willing to go over the homework.

What did you like the least?

- 00 none
- 01 I felt the tax examples and delving into the US tax code was a little dry. It was definitely interesting and useful to see the practical applications but it was the least interesting
- 02 I think the pace at the start of the course was a little too fast. I also think the workload should have been spread out more so that the majority of the work was not due at the very end of the semester.
- 03 It's a cliché, but it took awhile to get used to his accent. After two weeks, I got used to it and it wasn't such a big deal. Also, on Wednesdays we often went until 5:45; generally, I don't mind extra class time, but some of us had meetings at 5:30.

Is there something important about the course not covered by these questions?

- 00 none
- 01 I am amazed that this is the first time you have taught this course here, your course was amazing
- 02 I think more math could have been implemented in the course. Though the math may be difficult on the problem sets, once we have seen it before, it should be fair game for the exams.

Thanks for a great semester

03

I appreciate Kung taking this class on.