

Teaching Evaluation Result
(Lecture : Faculty Official)
Semester A 2007/08
Faculty of Business
City University of Hong Kong
Wed, February 6, 2008

Code : 132	Department : EF	Name : KUNG Fan Chin
Course : EF4490 / C01 (12976) - Urban Economics		
Response : 15	Size : 15	Level : Undergraduate

	Staff Ave	Dept Ave	Overall Faculty Ave	Overall Faculty Std Dev
1. the objectives of this course were made clear	2.07	2.35	2.61	1.26
2. the course was well organised and well structured	2.13	2.43	2.66	1.28
3. this lecturer communicated class materials clearly	2.20	2.43	2.67	1.40
4. this lecturer was well prepared for classes	2.13	2.13	2.36	1.25
5. this lecturer organised class time effectively	1.87	2.39	2.55	1.34
6. the lecturer stimulated my interest in the subject	2.33	2.66	3.03	1.51
7. the content of this course was interesting	2.20	2.67	3.08	1.50
8. this lecturer's speech/language was easy to understand	2.53	2.41	2.61	1.45
9. assignments were well designed and assisted learning	2.13	2.57	2.80	1.36
10. this lecturer encouraged me to ask questions	2.73	2.73	2.99	1.43
11. this lecturer was responsive to student problems	2.00	2.29	2.53	1.32
12. this lecturer was approachable and helpful	1.93	2.31	2.52	1.30
13. this lecturer added to the material in the textbook	2.71	2.47	2.75	1.35
14. this lecturer was enthusiastic about teaching	2.33	2.23	2.48	1.30
15. this lecturer encouraged me to think critically	2.40	2.64	2.87	1.37
16. this lecturer encouraged me to develop my own ideas	2.73	2.72	2.93	1.35
17. this lecturer aroused my interest to learn on my own	2.79	2.66	2.87	1.37
18. this course was very worthwhile	1.92	2.40	2.75	1.38
OVERALL RATING				
19. Considering the factors above, how would you rate the teaching overall	2.00	2.36	2.59	1.14

FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF QUESTION 19

Choices	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	N/A
Frequencies	4	8	2	1	0	0	0	0
Percentages	26.67%	53.33%	13.33%	6.67%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

** Std Dev : standard deviation

CONFIDENTIAL

Code : 132

Department : EF

Name : KUNG Fan Chin

Class Summary

Mode of study	Full Time : 15			Part Time : 0		
Year of study	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6
	0	0	14	0	0	0
Faculty you belong to	FB	SLW/SCM	FHS	FSE		
	14	0	0	0		
Gender	Male			Female		
	11			4		
Course required or elective	Required			Elective		
	6			9		
Your grade expectation in this course	A	B	C	D	F	
	9	6	0	0	0	
Your interest in the subject matter	Very Interested	Mildly Interested	Mildly Uninterested	Uninterested		
	4	10	1	0		
Is this subject difficult for you	Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very Easy		
	1	10	3	0		
Your attendance has been about	0-25%	26-50%	51-75%	76-100%		
	0	0	0	15		

Departmental Summary

Q	Class Size larger than 100		Class Size less than or equal to 100	
	Graduate Average	Undergraduate Average	Graduate Average	Undergraduate Average
1	-	2.74	2.76	2.30
2	-	2.94	2.84	2.37
3	-	2.96	2.80	2.37
4	-	2.18	2.28	2.12
5	-	2.32	2.52	2.39
6	-	3.18	3.08	2.60
7	-	3.16	2.79	2.63
8	-	2.66	2.76	2.37
9	-	2.86	2.80	2.53
10	-	3.40	3.00	2.67
11	-	3.20	2.80	2.20
12	-	2.90	2.80	2.24
13	-	3.02	3.25	2.39
14	-	2.22	2.76	2.21
15	-	2.94	3.32	2.59
16	-	3.12	3.12	2.67
17	-	3.02	2.96	2.62
18	-	2.84	2.91	2.34
19	-	2.68	2.76	2.32

Remark: Please note that a 7-point rating scale is adopted for this teaching evaluation form and lower scores mean better results.

Question No. 1-18: A 7-point continuum is used with 1=Strongly Agree while 7=Strongly Disagree

Question No. 19 (Overall Rating): 1=Excellent, 2=Very Good, 3=Good, 4=Fair, 5=Poor, 6=Very Poor, 7=Extremely Poor

CONFIDENTIAL